UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/584,943	07/05/2006	Yasushi Noguchi	128634	2767
27049 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/03/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	ROYSTON, ELIZABETH		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1747		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27049@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Office Action Summary Examiner Elizabeth Royston 1747 1741 1747 17			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Elizabeth Royston 1747 1			10/584,943	NOGUCHI ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Perlod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE a MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In revert however, may a reply be time yield after SX (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Faulte or largely within the act or extended period or required to the communication, even if timely the difference of the communication. Faulte or largely within the act or extended period or regively it, yielding to be communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted them set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted them set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted them set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted them set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted them set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any event adjusted to the set of the set of the set of the set of them set of them set of the set of them set of the set of	Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1-35(d). In revenit, however, may a reply the timely field after 5 (x) (MONTHS from thaniling date of the communication. Failure to reply within the Set or oxforded parted for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Any recay requires the set or oxforded parted for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Any recay requires the main state of the realing date of this communication. Parte of the communication is provided by the Set of the communication of the communication is provided by the Set of the communication is provided by the Set of the communication of the communication is provided by the Set of the communication of the set of the communication is provided by the set of the communication. Set of the above claim(s) is set of the communication in set of the communication in set of the communication is provided by the set of the communication in set of the communication is provided by the set of the communication in set of the communication in the communication in the communication in the com			Elizabeth Royston	1747				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendence of the map he assisted the tree proteons of 37 CP1 136(a). In or event, rower, may a regly testing yield. If NO period for really is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expres SIX (5) MONTHS born the realing date of this communication. Pales to period by which the set or catendary period will period will apply and will expres SIX (5) MONTHS born the realing date of this communication. Pales to period will be really apply and will expres SIX (5) MONTHS born the realing date of this communication, and the process of the communication, even if timely filed, may recture any exame paramite them adjustment. Set 7/CP1 7/00(a). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 August 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is on-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) E17.18.20.22.24.26.28.30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s)		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 it his communication. aximum statutory period will do for reply will, by statute, of the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b] This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12.17.18.20.22.24.26.28.30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
4) Claim(s) 12.17.18.20.22.24.26.28.30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12.17.18.20.22.24.26.28.30.32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in co	2b)⊠ This a andition for allowand	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		3			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>12,17,18,20,22,24,</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object	is/are withdraw d. <u>26,28,30,32</u> is/are re ed to.	n from consideration. ejected.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s)	_ is/are: a) ☐ acce any objection to the di ncluding the correction	pted or b) objected to by the I rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/584,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 1747

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/30/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claims 12, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi (US PGPUB 2003/0143370) in view of Slykhouse (US PN 3773573) and Kitano (US PN 5861214), as evidenced by the MATSUMOTO MICROSPHERE F-series product data.

With regard to claims 12, 17, 20, 28, and 32, Noguchi teaches a method of manufacturing a porous ceramic structure which comprises mixing together a ceramic material (paragraph 43, line 3; paragraph 44, line 3), a foamed resin (paragraph 57, line 4), and a forming auxiliary (paragraph 61, line 1-4), forming the mixture into a body (paragraph 63, line 1-4), and then firing the body (paragraph 65, line 1-6).

Noguchi does not explicitly disclose specific details about the foamed resin.

Kitano teaches a foamed resin with 60 wt% or more of acrylonitrile and 20 wt% or less of methyl methacrylate. Kitano further teaches a diameter of 2 to 200 μ m (col. 3, line 53-58; col. 6, Example 4, line 46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the foamed resin composition in the teaching of Kitano as the foamed resin composition in the teaching of Noguchi. The motivation to do so would have been the rationale provided by the teaching of Kitano, that to use such a resin composition predictably results in a foamed resin with high chemical and heat resistance (col. 1, line 28-29; col. 2, line 41-42) and with an effectiveness that is not lost after four weeks (col. 3, line 64-67).

Although Kitano discloses an example of 15 wt% of a C5 gas (col. 6, Example 4), Kitano is silent as to the acceptable content range of the expandable gas suitable in an

expanding sphere made from a methyl methacrylate- acrylonitrile copolymer. However, Slykhouse teaches that gas contents of 10-25wt% gas (col. 3, line 53) were known in the art at the time of the invention as suitable for use in 2-200 µm diameter (col. 3, line 64-66) foamed resin spheres made of a methyl methacrylate - acrylonitrile copolymer comprising about 10-90% acrylonitrile (col. 3, line 26-29), overlapping with the foamed resin sphere compositions in the teaching of Kitano, and with applicant's claimed range.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the foamed resin spheres in the teaching of Kitano with gas contents within the ranges of the teaching of Slykhouse as the foamed resin spheres in the teaching of Noguchi. The rationale to do so would be found in the teaching of Slykhouse that using such a gas composition was known in the art as successfully ensuring that the foamed resin predictably improves the distribution of discrete gas bubbles (col. 1, line 56-64) in a mixture, which is in line with the rationale provided by Noguchi of using a foamed resin to create a high-porosity structure (Noguchi, paragraph 58).

Although Noguchi in view of Kitano and Slykhouse does not explicitly the gas loss after 4 weeks when stored at 40 °C, since the composition of the outer shell and the range for the gas content was known in the art at the time of the invention as overlapping the ranges claimed by Applicant, the weight decrease of the gas must also have been within the ranges claimed by Applicant. Additionally, Kitano does teach that the effectiveness of the expanding resin of the invention is stable over four weeks (col. 3, line 64-67), where storage temperatures of up to 40 °C were known for foamed resins, as evidenced by the MATSUMOTO MICROSPHERE F-series product data.

With regard to claims 22 and 24, Noguchi teaches a honeycomb filter with a plurality of through-holes opened in an exhaust gas inflow-side end face and an exhaust gas outflow-side end face and in which the plurality of through holes are closed alternately in opposite end face portions (paragraph 47, line 1-4).

With regard to claim 26, Noguchi teaches a method of manufacturing a ceramic structure wherein the main components include cordierite and silicon carbide (paragraph 43, line 3; paragraph 44, line 3).

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi (US PGPUB 2003/0143370) in view of Kitano (US PN 5861214) and Slykhouse (US PN 3773573), as evidenced by the MATSUMOTO MICROSPHERE F-series product data, as applied for claims 12, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 32 above, and in further view of Ahmed et al. 1996 and Gehlsen (US PN 6103152).

With regard to claims 18, Noguchi in view of Kitano and Slykhouse does not explicitly disclose 90 wt% or more of acrylonitrile. However, Kitano does teach a resin shell with a combined wt% of acrylonitrile and methacrylonitrile of 90 wt% or more (col. 6, Example 4).

Ahmed teaches that the structural similarity of methacrylonitrile to acrylonitrile allows methacrylonitrile to be used as a replacement for acrylonitrile in plastic and elastomer applications (col. 1, line 1-7). Since methacrylonitrile and acrylonitrile appear

to have a known functional equivalency, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use 90 wt% or more acrylonitrile in the resin composition in the teaching of Noguchi in view of Kitano.

Furthermore, Gehlsen teaches that the tensile and cohesive strength of the resin is directly dependent on the acrylonitrile content in the resin shell (col. 7-8, line 61-97, 1-25), even to the point of having virtually no secondary polymeric material in high strength shells (col. 8, line 24-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to optimize the acrylonitrile content in the teaching of Noguchi in view of Kitano according to the teaching of Gehlsen so as to produce a shell with the desired strength.

6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi (US PGPUB 2003/0143370) in view of Kitano (US PN 5861214) and Slykhouse (US PN 3773573), as evidenced by the MATSUMOTO MICROSPHERE F-series product data, as applied for claims 12, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 32 above, and in further view of Nagata (US PN 6440185).

With regard to claim 30, Noguchi in view of Kitano and Slykhouse does not explicitly disclose a shell wall thickness.

Nagata teaches foamed resins comprising methacrylate and acrylonitrile copolymers (col. 5, line 28-29) and an expandable gas content of 10-15 wt% (col. 6, line 43-44) with a shell wall thicknesses of 0.05 to 5 μ m (col. 5, line 52-54) were known in the art at the time of the invention.

Art Unit: 1747

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the shell wall thickness taught by Nagata as the thickness in the teaching of Noguchi in view of Kitano. The rationale to do so would have been found in the teaching of Nagata that using a wall thickness predictably results in the formation of a foamed resin that is readily available and strong (col. 5, line 56-65), where one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate the readily optimized physical traits where the thicker the shell, the stronger the foamed resin.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12, 17, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Royston whose telephone number is 571-270-7654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,943 Page 8

Art Unit: 1747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1747

/Richard Crispino/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1747